arXiv:1701.01038v2 [math.CO] 6 Jan 2017

The Erdős-Ginzburg-Ziv constant and progression-free subsets

Gábor Hegedűs
Óbuda University
Kiscelli utca 82, Budapest, Hungary, H-1032
hegedus.gabor@nik.uni-obuda.hu

January 9, 2017

Abstract

Ellenberg and Gijswijt gave recently a new exponential upper bound for the size of three-term arithmetic progression free sets in $(\mathbb{Z}_p)^n$, where p is a prime. Petrov summarized their method and generalized their result to linear forms.

In this short note we use Petrov's result to give new exponential upper bounds for the Erdős-Ginzburg-Ziv constant of finite Abelian groups of high rank. Our main results depend on a conjecture about Property D.

1 Introduction

Let A denote an additive finite Abelian group. We denote by $s(A)$ the smallest integer $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ such that every sequence S over G of length $|S| \geq \ell$ has a zero–sum subsequence of length $|T| = exp(A)$.

Here $s(A)$ is the *Erdős-Ginzburg-Ziv* constant of A.

[0] **Keywords. Erdős-Ginzburg-Ziv constant, zero-sum sequence, finite abelian groups**
2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 11B50, 11B75, 20K01

Erdős, Ginzburg and Ziv determined precisely $s(A)$ in the special case $A = \mathbb{Z}_m$, where $m > 1$ is an arbitrary integer (see [10]).

Let $g(A)$ denote the smallest integer $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ such that every square-free sequence S over G of length $|S| \geq \ell$ has a zero–sum subsequence of length $|T| = exp(A)$.

The precise value of $s(A)$ is known only for groups with rank at most two. We have

Theorem 1.1 *If $A = \mathbb{Z}_{n_1} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{n_2}$, where $1 \leq n_1 | n_2$, then $s(A) = 2n_1 + 2n_2 - 3$.*

Let $A := (\mathbb{Z}_k)^n$ with $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k \geq 2$. The inverse problem associated with $s(A)$ asks for the structure of sequences of length $s(A) - 1$ that do not have a zero-sum subsequence of length k. The standing conjecture that every group $A := (\mathbb{Z}_k)^n$ satisfies the following Property D (see [13], Conj. 7.2).

Property D: Every sequence S over A of length $|S| = s(A) - 1$ that has no zero-sum subsequence of length k has the form $S = T^{k-1}$ for some sequence T over A.

We collected the most important cases, when Property D is satisfied.

Theorem 1.2 *The following Abelian groups has Property D:*

(i) $A = (\mathbb{Z}_k)^n$, *where* $k = 2$, $n \geq 1$ *is arbitrary;*

(ii) $A = (\mathbb{Z}_k)^n$, *where* $k = 3$, $n \geq 1$ *is arbitrary;*

(iii) $A = (\mathbb{Z}_k)^n$, *where* $n = 1$, $k \geq 2$ *is arbitrary.*

Harborth proved the following inequality in [15].

Theorem 1.3 *Let $k \geq 2$, $n \geq 1$ be arbitrary integers. Let $A := (\mathbb{Z}_k)^n$. Then*

$$(k-1)2^n + 1 \leq s(A) \leq (k-1)k^n + 1.$$

Harborth determined the Erdős-Ginzburg-Ziv constant $s(A)$ in the following special case in [15].

Theorem 1.4 *Let $a \geq 1$, $n \geq 1$ be arbitrary integers. Let $k := 2^a$. Let $A := (\mathbb{Z}_k)^n$. Then*

$$s(A) = (k-1)2^n + 1.$$

Alon and Dubiner proved the following upper bound for $s(A)$ in [1].

Theorem 1.5 *Let $k \geq 2$, $n \geq 1$ be arbitrary integers. Let $A := (\mathbb{Z}_k)^n$. There exists an absolute constant $c > 0$ such that for all k*

$$s(A) \leq (cnlog_2 n)^n k.$$

Our main result is the following upper bound for $s(A)$, where $A := (\mathbb{Z}_p)^n$ and $p > 2$ is a prime.

Theorem 1.6 *Let $p > 2$ be a prime. Suppose that the group $A := (\mathbb{Z}_p)^n$ satisfies Property D.*

Then

$$s(A) \leq (p-1)p^{(1-\frac{(p-2)^2}{2p^2 ln(p)})n+1} + 1.$$

We give the proof of Theorem 1.6 in Section 3.

Meshulam proved the following result in [17] Corollary 1.3.

Theorem 1.7 *Let $n \geq 1$ be an arbitrary integer. Let $A = (\mathbb{Z}_3)^n$. Then*

$$s(A) = O(\frac{3^n}{n}).$$

Harborth expressed $s(A)$ in the following special case in [15], Hilfsatz 3.

Theorem 1.8 *Let $n \geq 1$ be an arbitrary integer. Let $A = {\mathbb{Z}_3}^n$. Then*

$$s(A) = 2g(A) - 1.$$

We can confirm Alon and Dubiner's conjecture (see [2]) using Ellenberg–Gijswijt's result about three term arithmetic progression.

Corollary 1.9 *Let $n \geq 1$ be an arbitrary integer. Let $A = (\mathbb{Z}_3)^n$. Then*

$$s(A) \leq 2 \cdot (2.765)^n.$$

Proof. Let $B \subseteq A$ be a set of vectors without three term arithmetic progression. It is easy to verify that

$$|B| \leq g(A) - 1.$$

The result follows from Theorem 1.8 and Theorem 2.5 . □

First we generalize Theorem 1.6 to prime powers.

Theorem 1.10 *Let $p > 2$ be a prime and $r \geq 1$ be an integer. Suppose that the group $A := (\mathbb{Z}_p)^n$ satisfies Property D. Then there exists a constant $1 < c(p^r) < p^r$ depending on p^r such that*

$$s((\mathbb{Z}_{p^r})^n) \leq c(p^r)^n.$$

Specially

$$s((\mathbb{Z}_{p^r})^n) \leq d(p)^n \frac{p^r - 1}{p - 1}, \tag{1}$$

for each $r \geq 1$, where $d(p)$ depends only on p.

The proof of Theorem 1.10 appears in Section 3.

The following result was proved in [8] as Theorem 1.4.

Theorem 1.11 *Let $A := \mathbb{Z}_{n_1} \bigoplus \ldots \bigoplus \mathbb{Z}_{n_r}$, where $r := r(G)$ and $1 < n_1 | \ldots | n_r$. Let $c_1, \ldots, c_r \in \mathbb{N}$ be integers such that for all primes $p \in \mathcal{P}$ with $p | n_r$ and all $1 \leq i \leq r$ we have*

$$s(\mathbb{Z}_p{}^i) \leq c_i(p-1) + 1.$$

Then

$$s(A) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{r} (c_{r+1-i} - c_{r-i}) n_i - c_r + 1,$$

where $c_0 = 0$. In particular, if $n_1 = \ldots = n_r = n$, then $s(A) \leq c_r(n-1) + 1$.

We use later the following easy Corollary.

Corollary 1.12 *Let $\mathcal{P}$ denote a non-empty, finite set of odd primes and let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ be a product of prime powers with primes from $\mathcal{P}$.*

Let m be a power of 2. Suppose that for each $p \in \mathcal{P}$ there exists a $1 < c(p) < p$ depending only on p such that

$$s((\mathbb{Z}_p)^n) \leq c(p)^n(p-1) + 1$$

for each $n \geq 1$. Then there exists a $1 < c(k) < k$ depending only of k such that

$$s((\mathbb{Z}_{mk})^n) \leq 2^n(m-1)k + c(k)^n(k-1) + 1.$$

For the reader's convenience we give the proof of Corollary 1.12 in Section 3. But this proof is very similar to the proof of [8] Corollary 4.5(3).

Finally we generalize Theorem 1.6 to arbitrary integer modulus.

Theorem 1.13 *Let $\mathcal{P}$ denote a non-empty, finite set of odd primes and let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ be a product of prime powers with primes from $\mathcal{P}$.*

Let m be a power of 2. Suppose that the groups $A_p := (\mathbb{Z}_p)^n$ satisfy Property D for each $p \in \mathcal{P}$. Then there exists a $1 < c(k) < k$ depending only of k such that

$$s((\mathbb{Z}_{mk})^n) \leq 2^n(m-1)k + c(k)^n(k-1) + 1.$$

Proof of Theorem 1.13:

Theorem 1.13 follows from Theorem 1.6 and Corollary 1.12. □

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Combinatorial number theory

Let G denote a finite Abelian group.

If $|G| > 1$, then it is well–known that there exist uniquely determined integers $1 < n_1|n_2|\ldots|n_r$ such that

$$G \cong \mathbb{Z}_{n_1} \oplus \ldots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{n_r}.$$

and $exp(G) = n_r$ is called the exponent of G and $r(G) = r$ the rank of G.

Recall that G is a *p-group* if $exp(G) = p^k$ for a p prime number and $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and G is an *elementary p-group* if $exp(G) = p$.

We denote by $\mathcal{F}(G)$ the free abelian monoid with basis G. An element $S \in \mathcal{F}(G)$ is called a sequence over G and we can write as:

$$S = \prod_{g \in G} g^{\nu_g(S)} = \prod_{i=1}^{l} g_i,$$

where $\nu_g(S) \in \mathbb{N}$, and $l \in \mathbb{N}$ and $g_i \in G$.

Here $|S| = l \in \mathbb{N}$ is the length, $\sigma(S) = \sum_{i=1}^{l} g_i \in G$ is the sum and $supp(S) = \{g \in G : \ \nu_g(S) > 0\}$ is the support of S. Moreover, $\nu_g(S)$ is called the multiplicity of g in S.

Recall that a sequence is called a *zero-sum sequence* if $\sigma(S) = 0$, it is called squarefree if $\nu_g(S) \leq 1$ for each $g \in G$. As usual, a sequence T is called a subsequence of S if T divides S in $\mathcal{F}(G)$.

We use later the following result (see [5] Proposition 3.1).

Theorem 2.1 *Let G be a finite Abelian group and let $H \leq G$ be a subgroup such that $exp(G) = exp(H)exp(G/H)$. Then*

$$s(G) \leq exp(G/H)(s(H) - 1) + s(G/H)$$

2.2 Upper bounds for the space of monomials

Let $n, m \geq 1$, $D \geq 2$ be fixed integers. Let

$$L_{n,D} := \text{span}(\{x^\alpha = x_1^{\alpha_1} \dots x_n^{\alpha_n} : \ \alpha_i \leq D-1 \text{ for each } 1 \leq i \leq n\}).$$

Let

$$L_{n,D,k} := \text{span}(\{x^\alpha \in L_{n,D} : \ deg(x^\alpha) \leq k\}).$$

Theorem 2.2 *Let*

$$c := 1 - \frac{(m-2)^2}{2m^2 ln(D)}.$$

Then

$$dim(L_{n,D,\frac{n(D-1)}{m}}) \leq D^{cn}.$$

The proof of Theorem 2.2 is based on Hoeffding inequality and a very slight generalization of the proof of [14] Lemma 1.

2.3 Progression-free sets and Petrov's result

Ellenberg and Gijswijt achieved the following breakthrough very recently in [9] on the upper bounds of progression free subsets in $(\mathbb{Z}_p)^n$, where p is a prime.

Theorem 2.3 *Let p be a fixed prime. Let $(a_1, a_2, a_3) \in (\mathbb{Z}_p)^3$ be a fixed vector. Suppose that p divides $\sum_i a_i$ and $a_3 \not\equiv 0 \pmod p$..*

Let $\mathcal{F} \subseteq (\mathbb{Z}_p)^n$ be an arbitrary subset satisfying the following property: if $\mathbf{b}_1, \mathbf{b}_2, \mathbf{b}_3 \in \mathcal{F}$ are arbitrary vectors such that there exist $1 \leq i < j \leq 3$ with $\mathbf{b}_i \neq \mathbf{b}_j$, then

$$a_1\mathbf{b}_1 + a_2\mathbf{b}_2 + a_3\mathbf{b}_3 \neq \mathbf{0}.$$

Then

$$|\mathcal{F}| \leq 3 \cdot dim(L_{n,p,\frac{n(p-1)}{3}}).$$

Later Petrov proved the following generalization of the Theorem 2.3 in [19].

Theorem 2.4 *Let p be a prime, $m \geq 1$ be an integer. Let $(a_1, \ldots, a_m) \in (\mathbb{Z}_p)^m$ be a fixed vector. Suppose that p divides $\sum_i a_i$ and $a_m \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$.*

Let $\mathcal{F} \subseteq (\mathbb{Z}_p)^n$ be an arbitrary subset satisfying the following property: if $\mathbf{b}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{b}_m \in \mathcal{F}$ are arbitrary vectors such that there exist $1 \leq i < j \leq m$ with $\mathbf{b}_i \neq \mathbf{b}_j$, then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i \mathbf{b}_i \neq \mathbf{0}.$$

Then

$$|\mathcal{F}| \leq m \cdot dim(L_{n,p,\frac{n(p-1)}{m}}).$$

The following Corollary is a direct consequence of Theorem 2.2.

Corollary 2.5 *Let p be a prime, $m \geq 1$ be an integer. Let $(a_1, \ldots, a_m) \in (\mathbb{Z}_p)^m$ be a fixed vector. Suppose that p divides $\sum_i a_i$.*

Let $\mathcal{F} \subseteq (\mathbb{Z}_p)^n$ be an arbitrary subset satisfying the following property: if $\mathbf{b}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{b}_m \in \mathcal{F}$ are arbitrary vectors such that there exist $1 \leq i < j \leq m$ with $\mathbf{b}_i \neq \mathbf{b}_j$, then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i \mathbf{b}_i \neq \mathbf{0}.$$

Then

$$|\mathcal{F}| \leq m p^{(1-\frac{(m-2)^2}{2m^2 ln(p)})n}.$$

Proof. This follows from Theorem 2.4 and Theorem 2.2. □Finally we use in the proof of our main results the following clear consequence.

Corollary 2.6 *Let p be a prime, $r \geq 2$ be an integer. Suppose that p divides r.*

Let $\mathcal{F} \subseteq (\mathbb{Z}_p)^n$ be an arbitrary subset satisfying the following property: if $\mathbf{b}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{b}_r \in \mathcal{F}$ are arbitrary vectors such that there exist $1 \leq i < j \leq r$ with $\mathbf{b}_i \neq \mathbf{b}_j$, then

$$\mathbf{b}_1 + \ldots + \mathbf{b}_r \neq \mathbf{0}.$$

Then

$$|\mathcal{F}| \leq r p^{(1-\frac{(r-2)^2}{2r^2 ln(p)})n}.$$

Proof. Let $m := r$ and $a_i = 1$ for each $1 \leq i \leq r$. Then we can apply Corollary 2.5. □

3 Proofs

Proof of Theorem 1.6:

Let S be a sequence of length $s(A) - 1$ that does not have a zero-sum subsequence of length p. Then it follows from Property D that S has the form $S = T^{p-1}$ for some sequence T over A. Clearly T does not have a zero-sum subsequence of length p. Hence T is a square-free sequence, and there exists a subset $\mathcal{F} \subseteq {\mathbb{Z}_p}^n$ such that $\mathcal{F} = supp(T)$. Hence if $(\mathbf{a}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{a}_r) \in \mathcal{F}^p$ is an arbitrary vector such that there exist $1 \leq i < j \leq m$ with $\mathbf{a}_i \neq \mathbf{a}_j$, then

$$\mathbf{a}_1 + \ldots + \mathbf{a}_p \neq \mathbf{0}.$$

Here we used that $S = T^{p-1}$ is a sequence of length $s(A) - 1$ that does not have a zero-sum subsequence of length p.

We can apply Corollary 2.6 with the choice $r := p$ and we get

$$|\mathcal{F}| \leq p \cdot p^{(1-\frac{(p-2)^2}{2p^2 ln(p)})n}.$$

Since $S = T^{p-1}$, $\mathcal{F} = supp(T)$ and S is a sequence of length $s(A) - 1$, hence we get our result. □

Proof of Theorem 1.10:

We can prove by induction on the exponent r.

If $r = 1$, then Theorem 1.6 gives the result.

Suppose that our inequality (1) is true for a fixed r. We will prove that (1) is true for $r+1$. Namely it follows from Theorem 1.6 and the inductional hypothesis that

$$s((\mathbb{Z}_{p^{r+1}})^n) \leq p \cdot s((\mathbb{Z}_{p^r})^n) + s((\mathbb{Z}_p)^n) \leq$$

$$\leq d(p)^n \frac{p^r - 1}{p - 1} + d(p)^n = d(p)^n \Big(\frac{p^r - 1}{p - 1} + 1 \Big) =$$

$$d(p)^n \frac{p^{r+1}-1}{p-1}.$$

□

Proof of Corollary 1.12:

Let $A := (\mathbb{Z}_{mk})^n$ and $H := kA \equiv (\mathbb{Z}_m)^n$. Then clearly $A/H \equiv (\mathbb{Z}_k)^n$. Define

$$c(k) := \max\{c(p) : \ p \in \mathcal{P}\}.$$

Clearly $1 < c(k) < k$, since $1 < c(p) < p$ for each $p \in \mathcal{P}$.

But then

$$s(\mathbb{Z}_p) \leq \ldots \leq s((\mathbb{Z}_p{}^n)) \leq c(p)^n(p-1)+1 \leq c(k)^n(p-1)+1$$

for each $p \in \mathcal{P}$. It follows from Theorem 1.11 that

$$s(A/H) = s((\mathbb{Z}_k)^n) \leq c(k)^n(k-1)+1.$$

On the other hand it follows from Theorem 1.4 that

$$s(H) = s((\mathbb{Z}_m)^n) \leq 2^n(m-1)+1.$$

Finally Theorem 2.1 gives that

$$s(A) \leq exp(A/H)(s(H)-1)+s(A/H) \leq 2^n(m-1)k + c(k)^n(k-1)+1.$$

□

Acknowledgements. I am indebted to Lajos Rónyai for his useful remarks.

References

[1] N. Alon, and M. Dubiner. Zero-sum sets of prescribed size. *Combinatorics, Paul Erdos is Eighty* **1** 33-50 (1993)

[2] N. Alon and M. Dubiner . A lattice point problem and additive number theory. *Combinatorica*, **15(3)**, 301-309. (1995).

[3] L. Babai and P. Frankl, *Linear algebra methods in combinatorics,* manuscript, September 1992.

[4] J. Blasiak, T. Church, H. Cohn, J. A. Grochow and C. Umans. On cap sets and the group-theoretic approach to matrix multiplication. arXiv preprint (2016). arXiv:1605.06702.

[5] Chi, R., Ding, S., W. Gao, A. Geroldinger and A. W. Schmid, On zero-sum subsequences of restricted size. IV. Acta Mathematica Hungarica, 107(4), .337-344. (2005)

[6] E. Croot, V. Lev, and P. Pach. Progression-free sets in $\mathbb{Z}_4^n$ are exponentially small. arXiv preprint arXiv:1605.01506 (2016).

[7] M. Deza, P. Frankl and N. M. Singhi. On functions of strengtht. *Combinatorica* **3.3-4** (1983): 331-339.

[8] Y. Edel, C. Elsholtz, A. Geroldinger, S. Kubertin and L. Rackham, Zero-sum problems in finite abelian groups and affine caps. *The Quarterly J. of Math.* **58(2)**, 159-186 (2007).

[9] J. S. Ellenberg and D. Gijswijt. "On large subsets of Fn q with no three-term arithmetic progression. 2016." arXiv preprint arXiv:1605.09223.

[10] P. Erdős, A. Ginzburg and A. Ziv, Theorem in the additive number theory. *Bull. Res. Council Israel*, **10**, 41-43 (1961).

[11] Y. Fan, W. Gao and Q. Zhong, . On the ErdsGinzburgZiv constant of finite abelian groups of high rank. *Journal of Number Theory*, **131(10)**, 1864-1874 (2011).

[12] P. Frankl, P. and R. M. Wilson (1981). Intersection theorems with geometric consequences. Combinatorica, 1(4), 357-368.

[13] W. Gao and A. Geroldinger, Zero-sum problems in finite abelian groups: a survey. *Expositiones Mathematicae*, 24(4), 337-369 (2006)..

[14] D. Gijswijt. Asymptotic upper bounds on progression-free sets in F_n^p , 2016. URL:http://homepage.tudelft.nl/64a8q/progressions.pdf.

[15] Harborth, H. (1973). Ein Extremalproblem fr Gitterpunkte. *Journal fr die reine und angewandte Mathematik*, **262**, 356-360.

[16] R. Kleinberg, W. F. Sawin and D. E. Speyer The Growth Rate of Tri-Colored Sum-Free Sets. arXiv preprint arXiv:1607.00047 (2016)..

[17] R. Meshulam, On subsets of finite abelian groups with no 3-term arithmetic progressions. Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A, 71(1), 168-172 (1995)..

[18] E. Naslund and W. F. Sawin. "Upper bounds for sunflower-free sets." arXiv preprint arXiv:1606.09575 (2016).

[19] F. Petrov, Many Zero Divisors in a Group Ring Imply Bounds on Progression–Free Subsets. arXiv preprint arXiv:1606.03256 (2016).

[20] T. Tao. A symmetric formulation of the Croot-Lev-Pach-Ellenberg-Gijswijt capset bound, 2016. URL:https://terrytao.wordpress.com/2016/05/18/a-symmetric-formulation-of-the-croot-lev-pachellenberg- gijswijt-capset-bound